




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁷ : B01D 15/02	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/33934 (43) International Publication Date: 15 June 2000 (15.06.00)
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/NL99/00739</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 3 December 1999 (03.12.99)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data: 9826684.4 4 December 1998 (04.12.98) GB</p> <p>(71) Applicants (for all designated States except US): ALPHARMA AS [NO/NO]; Harbitzalléen 3, N-0212 Oslo (NO). TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITEIT DELFT [NL/NL]; Julianalaan 134, NL-2628 BL Delft (NL).</p> <p>(72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): JENSEN, Thomas, Birger [NO/NL]; Coenderstraat 10, NL-2613 SM Delft (NL). BILLIET, Hugo, Arthur, Honoré [BE/NL]; Bachplein 43, NL-2651 TZ Berkel/Rodenrijs (NL). VAN DER WIELEN, Lucas, Antonius, Maria [NL/NL]; De Ruyterweg 30, NL-2655 AM Bleiswijk (NL).</p> <p>(74) Agent: ALTENBURG, Bernardus, Stephanus, Franciscus; Octrooibureau Los En Stigter B.V., Weteringschans 96, NL-1017 XS Amsterdam (NL).</p>	<p>(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p>Published With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</p>	

(54) Title: METHOD OF SUBSTANTIALLY CONTINUOUSLY SEPARATING TWO COMPOUNDS USING A MOVING BED OR A SIMULATED MOVING BED

(57) Abstract

The present invention relates to a method of separating a first solute A and a second solute B using (simulated) moving bed chromatography. According to the present invention at least one of a) a feedstream; and b) a desorbent stream comprises an organic solvent. The use of different solvent liquids for the feedstream and the desorbent stream results in an increased difference in partition coefficients for solute A and solute B. According to the present invention it is possible to obtain solute A in an extract stream in a form which is more concentrated in comparison to the concentration of solute A in the feedstream.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

METHOD OF SUBSTANTIALLY CONTINUOUSLY SEPARATING TWO COMPOUNDS USING A MOVING BED OR A SIMULATED MOVING BED

The present invention relates to a method of substantially continuously separating a first solute A and a second solute B which are present in a feed stream further comprising a first solvent liquid, using a moving-bed device which contains a sorbent material and comprises an inlet for the feedstream, an inlet for a desorbing second solvent liquid, an outlet for an extract stream comprising solute A, an outlet for a raffinate stream comprising solute B, and a further outlet, said method comprising

- introducing the feed stream into the moving-bed device;
- introducing the desorbing second solvent liquid into the moving-bed device in a relative countercurrent motion with respect to the sorbent material;
- discharging the extract stream relatively enriched in solute A with respect to solute B; and
- discharging the raffinate stream relatively enriched in solute B with respect to solute A;

- * the course between the inlet for second solvent liquid and the outlet for the extract stream defining a first zone 1;
- * the course between the outlet for the extract stream and the feed stream inlet defining a second zone 2;
- * the course between the feed stream inlet and the outlet for the raffinate stream defining a third zone 3; and
- * the course between the outlet for the raffinate stream and the further outlet defining a fourth zone 4;

@ wherein in each of the zones k, k being 1-4, m_k is defined as the ratio of a) the liquid flow in zone k; and b) the sorbent flow in zone k,

@ wherein at least one of i) the desorbing second solvent liquid; and ii) the first solvent liquid comprises an organic solvent, each solvent liquid comprising a set consisting of at least one essentially pure solvent compound, whereby the sets of the solvent liquids are selected to differ in their capability to remove an adsorbed compound from the sorbent material, the first solvent liquid and the desorbing second

solvent liquid being completely miscible with each other; and
$K_{A\text{extract}}$ is defined as the partition coefficient of solute A
in the solvent liquid of the extract stream;
$K_{B\text{extract}}$ is defined as the partition coefficient of solute B
5 in the solvent liquid of the extract stream;
$K_{A\text{raffinate}}$ is defined as the partition coefficient of solute A
in the solvent liquid of the raffinate stream;
$K_{B\text{raffinate}}$ is defined as the partition coefficient of solute B
in the solvent liquid of the raffinate stream,
10 and m_2 and m_3 are chosen such that
i) $m_2 < m_3$
ii) $K_{B\text{extract}} < m_2$
iii) $m_3 < K_{A\text{raffinate}}$.

Such a method is generally known in the art of simulated moving bed (SMB) separation of solutes present in a
15 solvent. More in particular, US 4,461,649 (1984) describes a
method of extracting a compound (sucrose) from a feed stream
(molasses) using SMB. A desorbent liquid, comprising an
organic compound (ethanol), was used to desorb sucrose from a
20 sorbent material in the bed. The sucrose is present in a
product extract stream at a concentration lower than the
concentration of sucrose in the feed stream.

The present invention is directed at improving the
method according to the preamble, and in particular to provide
25 a method which results in an extract stream comprising
the product in a higher concentration than in the feed
stream. In addition it is desired to provide a method with
reduced consumption of desorbent liquid. Further goals will
be clear from the following description.

30 The method according to the present invention is
characterized in that

iv) $m_2 < K_{A\text{extract}}$; and

m_2 and m_3 are chosen such that for a particular m_2

v) $m_3 > K_{B\text{raffinate}}$ and $m_3 > K_{A\text{extract}}$.

35 Surprisingly, it has been found that it is possible
to obtain a product stream in which the product is more
concentrated than in the feed stream. Given the fact that,
due to the addition of a desorbent stream, the total amount
of liquid increases this is surprising indeed. In the present

application, the term "solute" refers to a compound which is, under the same conditions (in particular at the same temperature) as during the process but in the absence of the first solvent liquid, a solid. The use of different solvent liquids for the feedstream and the desorbent stream - from which an increased difference between the partition coefficients and thus a difference in their capability to solubilize at least one of the solutes A and B due to the different hydrophilic/hydrophobic nature of the solvent liquids ensues - , makes it possible to achieve a considerable saving in organic solvent. In general, a solvent liquid comprising the organic solvent will comprise at least 1 % by vol. of the organic solvent, more usually at least 5 % by vol. Thus it is possible to provide for aqueous solvent liquids differing significantly in their effect on the partition coefficients and/or hydrophilic/hydrophobic nature and thus their capability to dissolve the solutes A and B. Of course, any of the solvent liquids may comprise up to 100 % by vol. of organic solvent and it may also be possible that both solvent liquids are comprised of organic solvents only. For example, the feed stream may comprise ethanol as the first solvent liquid and the desorbing liquid may comprise hexane as the second solvent liquid. In the present application, the term "set consisting of at least one essentially pure solvent compound" was introduced to indicate that, apart from possible other factors, it is the difference in the capability of the two sets of solvent liquids to remove an adsorbed compound from the sorbent material that contributes to the separation of solute A and solute B.

In a remotely related field (SMB adsorption separation, which process aims at separating a mixture of two liquids) Chiang, A.S.T. (ref. 4) describes the use of binary desorbent systems. Such binary desorbent systems, which will contain an organic liquid, are used so as to have a better control over the desorbent strength of the desorbent second solvent liquid. It is concluded by Chiang that the strength of the desorbent liquid is not as important as has been thought.

To allow for a reduction in the cost of solvent

liquids, it is preferred that after separation a stream comprising the organic solvent in a diluted form is subjected to a separation step for recovering the organic solvent in a form suitable for reusing it for separating solute A and solute B.

According to a further preferred embodiment the desorbing liquid and the first liquid have at least one solvent compound in common.

This makes it easier to regenerate solvent liquids as less effort is needed to sufficiently purify the solvent liquid. For example, when used with water as the other solvent liquid it is more economical to use aqueous ethanol as a desorbent liquid instead of essentially pure methanol, even if both liquids are equally effective in separating A and B. Regenerating essentially pure methanol from diluted methanol (for example from the raffinate stream), is much more costly than working up a diluted aqueous ethanol solution to a less aqueous ethanol solution.

The present invention is very suitable for feed streams comprising the compounds to be separated derived from a fermentation process, such as derived from a fermentation process and comprising an antibiotic, for example a polyketide antibiotic, as solute A.

The present invention will now be illustrated by way of example only and with reference to the drawing in which fig. 1 schematically shows a Simulated Moving Bed apparatus; and fig. 2 a-e show graphs indicating for which values of m_2 and m_3 a concentrated solute A can be obtained.

EXAMPLE

Operating a Simulated Moving Bed apparatus is widely known in the field. Basically an SMB apparatus comprises four sections, as shown in fig. 1, all of which contain a sorbent, which sorbent is selected in connection with a desorbent liquid for its capability to show different adsorption characteristics for a compound A and a compound B to be separated. This behaviour as to the adsorption characteristics is described by a partition coefficient K . It goes without

saying that the ratio between K_A and K_B should be as large as possible. This is because it allows for a better separation with a particular SMB apparatus, or an equivalent good separation with a smaller (and thus cheaper) SMB apparatus. Determination of (chromatographical) partition coefficients is well known in the art (ref. 1).

A feed stream F comprising the compounds A and B is introduced into the SMB apparatus between sections 2 and 3. A desorbent stream D is introduced into section I. An extract stream E comprising compound A is discharged between section 1 and 2, and a raffinate stream R comprising compound B is discharged between section 3 and 4. The ratio between i) the apparent liquid flow rate in a section; and ii) the sorbent flow rate in that section is indicated as m . The (apparent) sorbent flow rate is determined by the frequency at which valves controlling the SMB process are switched and the sections change function (that is, section 1 becomes section 4, section 4 becomes section 1 etc.).

If K/m is smaller than 1, the compound for which the K value applies moves upstream with respect to the liquid flow in the SMB.

As a more detailed description of an SMB apparatus and the SMB process is not necessary for the illustration of the present invention, the interested reader is referred to one of the text books on SMB.

For concentration of compound A to occur, it does not suffice if the flow rate of the extract stream E is smaller than the flow rate of the feedstream F. Here it is illustrated how the specific conditions under which concentration is possible may be determined.

1. The solvent compositions of the Raffinate stream R and the extract stream E are chosen depending on the desired extent of separation. The solvent composition of the raffinate stream R is a mixture of both the first solvent liquid of the feed stream F and the second solvent liquid of the desorbent stream D. The solvent composition of the extract stream E is essentially the same as composition of the second solvent liquid.

Instead, it is also possible to estimate the K

values for the solutes A and B by calculating them using the formula:

$$\log K_c = \phi \log K_{c1} + (1 - \phi) \log K_{c2}$$

wherein C represents A or B, 1 denotes the first solvent and
 5 2 the second solvent; and ϕ is the volume fraction of the first solvent in the raffinate stream R.

2. The values of m_2 and m_3 are chosen such that

i) $m_2 < m_3$

ii) $K_{B\text{extract}} < m_2$,

10 iii) $m_3 < K_{A\text{raffinate}}$

as is customary in the art. For a given flow rate of the feed stream F, and using the chosen for values of m_2 and m_3 , it is possible to calculate the flow rates of the desorbent stream D and the outgoing streams R and E.

15 3. Now, according to the present invention, from the range of values for m_2 and m_3 , those are chosen for which
 iv) $m_2 < K_{A\text{extract}}$; and v) $m_3 > K_{B\text{raffinate}}$. As the K value depends on the value of m_3 (the K value depends on the volume fraction ϕ), although this upper and lower curves can be found
 20 algebraically, they can also be easily computed numerically (using a numerical solver of the Newton-Raphson type, as is described in text books on calculus or numerical analysis).

It is thought to be illuminating to stepwise display all the limitations graphically.

25 Fig. 2a shows which values of m_2 and m_3 satisfy the condition that $m_2 < m_3$. If this condition were not met, no feed stream would enter the SMB apparatus.

Fig. 2b depicts in addition the conditions of ii) and iv) $K_{B\text{extract}} < m_2 < K_{A\text{extract}}$. If these conditions were not
 30 met, solute B would end up in the extract stream, thus making the purity of A poor.

Fig. 2c depicts in addition the condition iii) of $m_3 < K_{A\text{raffinate}}$ (According to the state of the art, $K_{A\text{raffinate}} = K_{A\text{extract}}$). If this condition were not met, solute A
 35 would end up in the raffinate stream, thus making the purity of B poor.

Fig. 2d depicts the condition of iii) where $m_3 < K_{A\text{raffinate}}$ for the present invention.

Fig. 2e depicts in addition the condition according

to the present invention that $m_3 > K_{\text{Br Raffinate}}$ and $m_3 > K_{\text{A extract}}$. It is only within this specific range (hatched) that concentration of solute A in the extract stream E is achieved.

For binary solvent systems the formulas for $K_{\text{A raffinate}}$ and $K_{\text{B raffinate}}$ are respectively

Analytical equations for the two curved lines, $K_{\text{A raffinate}}$ and $K_{\text{B raffinate}}$ (functions of m_3 . See fig. 2d and 2e):

$$K_{\text{A raffinate}} = \frac{m_3 \cdot \log(m_3) - m_3 \cdot (\phi_F \cdot \log(K_{A1}) + (1 - \phi_F) \cdot \log(K_{A1}))}{(\phi_D - \phi_F) \cdot \log(K_{A2}) + (\phi_F - \phi_D) \cdot \log(K_{A1})}$$

$$K_{\text{B raffinate}} = \frac{m_3 \cdot \log(m_3) - m_3 \cdot (\phi_F \cdot \log(K_{B2}) + (1 - \phi_F) \cdot \log(K_{B1}))}{(\phi_D - \phi_F) \cdot \log(K_{B2}) + (\phi_F - \phi_D) \cdot \log(K_{B1})}$$

wherein

m_2 is the ratio of a) the liquid flow rate in zone 2; and b) the sorbent flow rate in zone 2;

m_3 is the ratio of a) the liquid flow rate in zone 3; and b) the sorbent flow rate in zone 3;

ϕ_F volume fraction of one of the solvents of the binary solvent mixture in the feed stream;

ϕ_D volume fraction of the same solvent of the binary solvent mixture in the desorbent stream;

K_{A1} is the (chromatographical) partition coefficient of solute A in the first (pure) solvent liquid

K_{A2} is the partition coefficient of solute A in the second solvent liquid

K_{B1} is the partition coefficient of solute B in the first solvent liquid

K_{22} is the partition coefficient of solute B in the second solvent liquid

REFERENCES

- ref. 1 Ruthven, D.M. Adsorption and adsorption processes.
Wiley, New York, 1984.
- 5
- ref. 2 G. Storti, M. Mazzotti, M. Morbidelli and S. Carra.
Robust design of binary countercurrent adsorption
separation processes. AlChE J (39(3)), 471, 1993.
- 10 ref. 3 M. Mazzotti, G. Storti and M. Morbidelli, Optimal
operation of simulated moving bed units for nonlinear
chromatographic separation. J. Chromatogr. A. 769, 3-
24, 1997.
- 15 ref. 4 Chiang, A.S.T. Simulated Moving Bed Adsorption Pro-
cesses with Binary Desorbent. Proc. A.I.Ch.E. Annual
Meeting, Los Angeles, page 1533 - 1538 (1997).

CLAIMS

1. Method of substantially continuously separating a first solute A and a second solute B which are present in a feed stream further comprising a first solvent liquid, using a moving-bed device which contains a sorbent material and
- 5 comprises an inlet for the feedstream, an inlet for a desorbing second solvent liquid, an outlet for an extract stream comprising solute A, an outlet for a raffinate stream comprising solute B, and a further outlet, said method comprising
- 10 - introducing the feed stream into the moving-bed device;
- introducing the desorbing second solvent liquid into the moving-bed device in a relative countercurrent motion with respect to the sorbent material;
- discharging the extract stream relatively enriched in
- 15 solute A with respect to solute B; and
- discharging the raffinate stream relatively enriched in solute B with respect to solute A;
- * the course between the inlet for second solvent liquid and the outlet for the extract stream defining a first zone 1;
- 20 * the course between the outlet for the extract stream and the feed stream inlet defining a second zone 2;
* the course between the feed stream inlet and the outlet for the raffinate stream defining a third zone 3; and
* the course between the outlet for the raffinate stream and
- 25 the further outlet defining a fourth zone 4;
- @ wherein in each of the zones k, k being 1-4, m_k is defined as the ratio of a) the liquid flow in zone k; and b) the sorbent flow in zone k,
- @ wherein at least one of i) the desorbing second solvent
- 30 liquid; and ii) the first solvent liquid comprises an organic solvent, each solvent liquid comprising a set consisting of at least one essentially pure solvent compound, whereby the sets of the solvent liquids are selected to differ in their capability to remove an adsorbed compound from the sorbent
- 35 material, the first solvent liquid and the desorbing second solvent liquid being completely miscible with each other; and

- # $K_{A\text{extract}}$ is defined as the partition coefficient of solute A in the solvent liquid of the extract stream;
- # $K_{B\text{extract}}$ is defined as the partition coefficient of solute B in the solvent liquid of the extract stream;
- 5 # $K_{A\text{raffinate}}$ is defined as the partition coefficient of solute A in the solvent liquid of the raffinate stream;
- # $K_{B\text{raffinate}}$ is defined as the partition coefficient of solute B in the solvent liquid of the raffinate stream, and m_2 and m_3 are chosen such that
- 10 i) $m_2 < m_3$
- ii) $K_{B\text{extract}} < m_2$,
- iii) $m_3 < K_{A\text{raffinate}}$
- characterized in that iv) $m_2 < K_{A\text{extract}}$; and m_2 and m_3 are chosen such that for a particular m_2
- 15 v) $m_3 > K_{B\text{raffinate}}$ and $m_3 > K_{A\text{extract}}$.
2. Method according to claim 1, characterized in that after separation a stream comprising the organic solvent in a diluted form is subjected to a separation step for recovering the organic solvent in a form suitable for reusing
- 20 it for separating solute A and solute B.
3. Method according to claim 1 or 2, characterized in that the desorbing liquid and the first liquid have at least one solvent compound in common.
4. Method according to any of the preceding claims,
- 25 characterized in that the feed stream comprising the compounds to be separated is derived from a fermentation process.
5. Method according to claim 4, characterized in that the feed stream derived from a fermentation process
- 30 comprises an antibiotic as solute A.
6. Method according to claim 5, characterized in that the antibiotic is a polyketide antibiotic.

1/6




Fig. 1




Fig. 2a




Fig. 2b

4/6





Fig. 2c


5/6

Fig. 2d



6/6

Fig. 2e



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intern. Application No

PCT/NL 99/00739

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 B01D15/02

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 B01D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 4 461 649 A (R.W. NEUZIL) 24 July 1984 (1984-07-24) cited in the application claim 1	1,2
A	US 5 626 762 A (J.W. PRIEGNITZ) 6 May 1997 (1997-05-06) column 6, line 3 -column 7, line 16	1,3
A	MAZZOTTI M ET AL: "Optimal operation of simulated moving bed units for nonlinear chromatographic separations" JOURNAL OF CHROMATOGRAPHY A,NL,ELSEVIER SCIENCE, vol. 769, no. 1, 2 May 1997 (1997-05-02), pages 3-24, XP004064202 ISSN: 0021-9673 cited in the application	1
-/-		

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"Z" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

27 March 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

13/04/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3018

Authorized officer

Hilgenga, K

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/NL 99/00739

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	G. STORTI; M. MAZZOTTI; M. MORBIDELLI; S. CARRÀ: "Robust Design of Binary Countercurrent Adsorption Separation Processes" AICHE JOURNAL, vol. 39, no. 3, March 1993 (1993-03), pages 471-492, XP002134047 ISSN: 0001-1541 cited in the application page 472 -page 473 —	1
A	CHARTON F ET AL: "Complete design of a simulated moving bed" JOURNAL OF CHROMATOGRAPHY A,NL,ELSEVIER SCIENCE, vol. 702, no. 1, 19 May 1995 (1995-05-19), pages 97-112, XP004023342 ISSN: 0021-9673 —	1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/NL 99/00739

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 4461649	A	24-07-1984	NONE	
US 5626762	A	06-05-1997	US 5518625 A US 5645729 A	21-05-1996 08-07-1997